## Wesley Serra - Disgraceful New York Lawyer

While I spoke about this on the **show**, I want a more clear, to the point article about this disgraceful lawyer, **Wesley Serra**. The facts clearly show this lawyer has a serious problem with the truth. I'm all for dissent, but lying is not dissent.

One of Wesley's favorite lies is that every time a court addresses my material, it is rejected. It doesn't matter the motion has been granted as shown below, Wesley just dismisses it with an assumption and continues to lie.

JEFFERSON, COUNTY OF,   Plaintiff,   vs*   TAIT G HETHERTON,   Defendant.   The Court's scheduling order required a response by the State to the issues raised in Defendant's motions and ultimate relief requested, a dismissal. The Court's scheduling order required a response by the State to the issues raised in Defendant's motions and ultimate relief requested, a dismissal. The State's reply is conclusory and contains no analysis; no citation to authority; nor any application the facts to the law-or an explanation why such an application is unnecessary by the Court. Therefore, the Court grants the relief the Defendant seeks; case dismissed. The trial date is removed Dated this	STATE OF WISCONSIN	CIRCUIT COURT	JEFFERSON COUNTY
TAIT G HETHERTON,   Defendant.   The Court's scheduling order required a response by the State to the issues raised in Defendant's motions and ultimate relief requested, a dismissal. The State's reply is conclusory and contains no analysis; no citation to authority; nor any application the facts to the law-or an explanation why such an application is unnecessary by the Court. Therefore, the Court grants the relief the Defendant seeks; case dismissed. The trial date is removed bated this		DEC	CISION AND ORDER
Defendant. The Court's scheduling order required a response by the State to the issues raised in Defendant's motions and ultimate relief requested, a dismissal. The State's reply is conclusory and contains no analysis; no citation to authority; nor any application the facts to the law-or an explanation why such an application is unnecessary by the Court. Therefore, the Court grants the relief the Defendant seeks; case dismissed. The trial date is removed Dated this day of, 2016. BY THE COURT: Wesley Serra aka wserra Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III Wesley 08:50 PM ExertAy, 08:50 PM ExertAy, 08:50 PM Member More Member More States v. James Wit Not that anyone should need further proof, of course, but we have it anyway: every time a	-VS-		
The Court's scheduling order required a response by the State to the issues raised in Defendant's motions and ultimate relief requested, a dismissal. The State's reply is conclusory and contains no analysis; no citation to authority; nor any application the facts to the law-or an explanation why such an application is unnecessary by the Court. Therefore, the Court grants the relief the Defendant seeks; case dismissed. The trial date is removed Dated this day of day of day do day do day do day do date day do day d	TAIT G HETHERTON,	Case	No. 15TR9085
motions and ultimate relief requested, a dismissal. The State's reply is conclusory and contains no analysis; no citation to authority; nor any application the facts to the law-or an explanation why such an application is unnecessary by the Court. Therefore, the Court grants the relief the Defendant seeks; case dismissed. The trial date is removed Dated this <u>H</u> day of <u>Mai M</u> , 2016. BY THE COURT: Wesley Serra aka wserra Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III Secret Q unior Member Post: #1 Post: 22 Joined : Jul 2015 Reputation: 0 Warning Level: 0% United States v. James Witt Not that anyone should need further proof, of course, but we have it anyway: every time a	Defendant.		
the facts to the law-or an explanation why such an application is unnecessary by the Court. Therefore, the Court grants the relief the Defendant seeks; case dismissed. The trial date is removed Dated this <u>H</u> day of <u>Mai Ch</u> , 2016. BY THE COURT: Wesley Serra aka wserra Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III Post: #1 Vserra view member Post: 22 Joined: Jul 2015 Reputation: 0 Warning Level: 0% United States v. James Witt Not that anyone should need further proof, of course, but we have it anyway: every time a		and the second	the issues raised in Defendant's
Dated this day of, 2016. BY THE COURT: Wesley Serra aka wserra Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III Post: #1 Vserra @ Julior Member Post: 22 Joined: Jul 2015 Reputation: 0 Warning Level: 0%		5)	
BY THE COURT: Wesley Serra aka wserra Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III Post: #1 [ Post: #1 ] Post: 22 Joined: Jul 2015 Reputation: 0 Warning Level: 0%	Therefore, the Court grants the r	elief the Defendant seeks; case of	dismissed. The trial date is removed.
Wesley Serra aka wserra Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III esterday, 08:50 PM Post: #1   VSErra O unior Member Post: 22 Joined : Jul 2015 Reputation: 0 Warning Level: 0% United States v. James Witt Not that anyone should need further proof, of course, but we have it anyway: every time a	Dated this day of	larch, 2016.	
Honorable David J. Wambach Circuit Court Judge – Branch III esterday, 08:50 PM Post: #1   vserra o unior Member Post: 22 Joined: Jul 2015 Reputation: 0 Warning Level: 0%		BY THE COURT:	
Circuit Court Judge – Branch III Sterfday, 08:50 PM Post: #1   Vserra Infor Member Post: #1   Vserra Vse	Wesley Serra aka wserra		
Vserra unior Member Posts: 22 Joined: Jul 2015 Reputation: 0 Warning Level: 0% United States v. James Witt Not that anyone should need further proof, of course, but we have it anyway: every time a			
Vserra Joined: Jul 2015 Reputation: 0 Warning Level: 0% United States v. James Witt Not that anyone should need further proof, of course, but we have it anyway: every time a	esterday, 08:50 PM		Post: #1   [
Not that anyone should need further proof, of course, but we have it anyway: every time a	0		
	unior Member		Reputation: 0
court actually addresses the stuff expressed here, it loses. Yes, every time. One more example.	unior Member		Reputation: <b>0</b> Warning Level: 0%

Wesley has no evidence and but still insists the only explanation is a pissed off judge. Never mind the prosecutor responded in the same manner Wesley has. Wesley also fails to mention that since my material is gibberish, that no judge in his right mind would order a response. They would have just denied it without explanation. But Wesley sinks even lower in his effort to discredit my work. He not only reveals the defendant's name, he deliberately cherry picks the evidence. I knew Wesley was dishonest, but this is low even for him.

See the "M" in the docket number? That means "misdemeanor". See the "AP"? That means "APPEAL". In West Virginia, misdemeanor trials are held, not in Circuit Court (whence this order comes) but in Magistrate's Court. The Magistrate on this case was one Michael Gissy. It was to him that Leeson presented your silly motion - and he denied it and convicted her. She appealed - appeals from Magistrate's Court in WV go to Circuit Court. As both the docket number and the court show, this order is from the appeal. And therefore, when the court dismisses, it doesn't dismiss the underlying case, it dismisses what is before it - the <u>appeal</u>. Leeson gave up, perhaps because of money, perhaps she finally realized that she was (you were) wrong. As he did a couple of weeks ago when he omitted two of the three pages of another order, Stevens misrepresents the facts.

When you first read this, it really makes me look bad, like I deliberately withheld information. But, Wesley is doing precisely what he's accusing me of, it's called cherry picking and it's really dishonest. I corrected my article when I had the additional information.

Yes, there was a trial, conviction and appeal, that's correct. What Wesley deliberately left out was that in West Virginia an appeal from a misdemeanor conviction is heard as a trial *de novo*, a new trial, not appellate review. The **law showing** this is:

An appeal of a criminal proceeding tried before a magistrate without a jury shall be by trial de novo in circuit court without a jury.

Because it was a misdemeanor trial without a jury, a new trial was ordered. Because there was a new trial, the motion to dismiss the original complaint, the subject of the new trial, was filed again.

Circuit Court of Lewis County West Virginia Civil Action # 15-M-AP-2 State of West Virginia plaintiff. ORDER LET/S COUNTY, WY FILED 241 201 100 11 201 1001 11 201 11 201 11 201 **CERTAINT CLERK** alleged defendant This matter, having come before the Court on defendant's motion to dismiss; the Court being full advised of the premises and good cause appearing, it is hereby ORDERED granting defendant's motion to dismiss with prejudice. no E. Vego Date: JAN WORM 11, 2017 of Circuit Court of Lewis County Virginia

The motion was granted dismissing the original complaint with prejudice, not the appeal as Wesley claimed. This is not a harmless oversight either as I can prove.

Because Wesley knew the defendant's name, he has to have access to the docket online. Unlike many courts, this court's docket is **not available online** to the public. Since he's an attorney, he's probably using a service like Lexis-Nexis to access this court's docket. Wesley knew there was a new trial and the motion was granted dismissing the cop's complaint. Wesley Serra deliberately left this important information out. That is despicable, but typical for a lawyer, especially a former **prosecutor**.

We know Wesley says he is a former prosecutor. If he's willing to sink to such levels against me on an insignificant forum, then what about the people he prosecuted when it was about his career? How many times did he cherry pick evidence and prosecute people he knew were innocent? If he can be so petty and suppress evidence when there's nothing at stake, then what about when it concerns his career as a lawyer? Prosecutors are all about convictions and routinely withhold evidence; so it's fair to question. Are we to assume that Wesley only lacks ethics on the internet? While there will be no investigation into Wesley Serra's prosecutions, one thing is certain, he has provided evidence of his dishonesty, again. Anyone giving this lawyer a shred of credibility does so at their own peril.