

Two More Success Stories - Dismissals in California and Tennessee

Two success stories today, complaints dismissed in California and Tennessee. Congrats to Elliot and Shermaine and thanks for sending me the documentary proof.

Both filed the motion to dismiss, in California it is still called a demur. In both cases, the prosecutor withdrew their charges and the judges dismissed. Below is Shermaine's:

The image shows two identical Tennessee court forms side-by-side. The forms are titled 'JUDGMENT' and contain fields for case information, including the defendant's name (VICTIM'S NAME), the offense (e.g., 'Aggravated Assault'), and the court's decision. The forms are filled out with handwritten information, including dates and names. The date '2-26-18' is visible on the top form, and '10-23-14' is visible on the bottom form. The forms also include checkboxes for various legal options and a section for the judge's signature.

Below is Elliot's dismissal from California:

The image shows a document from the Orange County Superior Court, dated 05/10/17. The document is titled 'OC Pay #' and contains case information, including the charging document (Original Complaint), the court order number (CNT OL CHARGE), and the court's decision. The document is filled out with handwritten information, including dates and names. The date '05/10/17' is visible at the top, and '05/17/2017' is visible in the text. The document also includes a section for the court's decision, which states 'Case dismissed - Motion of People'. The document is signed by the court clerk and includes a section for the court's decision.

To be valid, a complaint must be filed into a court with jurisdiction and the facts as alleged

must constitute an actual public offense.

The complaints are always fatally flawed because there is no evidence proving the constitution and code apply in the first place. That is just assumed for the prosecution. Obviously assumptions are not facts so the complaint fails to set forth a public offense (code violation).

Judges are supposed to presume innocence, not insist the prosecution's claims are irrefutably true and require no evidence. That is the tactic of a criminal. But, forcing people to pay you means never having to have evidence and a rational basis supporting your claims.

And for those new, don't listen to the critics and government apologists who insist those called "government" can make claims without evidence. First, when lawyers do that it's an ethical violation. It is also prosecutorial misconduct to argue without evidence. It's also a false statement, could be perjury and is obstruction of justice.

Yes, they make claims without evidence, you can't expect less from a criminal organization.

[embedded content]