"The Right to V*te"

It seems a lot of people these days are very concerned about a supposed "right" to v*te. They either freak out in fear that this "right" is being withheld from some people, or they fear that if too many other people do it, it diminishes the value of their "legitimate" v*te.

I don't believe any such thing as a "right to v*te" exists, but even if it does, it's going to have very firm limits that most of its advocates aren't going to like.

If there is any such thing as a "right to v*te" it *can't* include a right to violate the life, liberty, or property of anyone else by a majority.

That means you have no right to encourage politicians to tax anyone, to ban the ownership or carrying of any sort of weapons, to take someone's land and put a sports complex on it, to force people to place their children in a kinderprison, to criminalize the manufacture, sale, or use of any substance– to do *anything* in any way that violates natural human rights to life, liberty, or property.

And in today's world, that's about all *any* election– a statist mob ritual– is about. V*ting is the foundation of democracy, and democracy is mob rule; might (*through superior numbers*) makes "right".

The rights of the masses do not outweigh the rights of the individual. Not even if it's a trillion to one.

You have no "right" to gang up to violate rights you don't care about or that you don't like.