

Does Safety Justify Aggression?

For the sake of our safety, it's necessary to have government agents initiating force against entire categories of people, limiting the individual freedom of many based upon what some of those people are likely to do in the future.

If you believe in that principle when it comes to guns, you are a statist. If you believe in that principle when it comes to national borders, you are a statist. If you believe in that principle for one and not the other (in either direction), you are a statist *and* a hypocrite.

More specifically, don't pretend to have any principles if you are a Trump supporter who wants "government" violence used to prevent people from stepping over the arbitrary, imaginary line known as the country's "border," based on what you think *some* people stepping over that line *might* do in the future, and then bitch about leftists using the *exact same* premise and crappy reasoning to justify "gun control": "We need to disarm everyone based on what some gun owners might do in the future!"