

Re: Minarchy

Kilgore, here's Amanda's post in full:

This is the last argument I will direct toward self-professed "minarchists". After that, I have no more time for reactionaries or reformers. The free society offers too many exciting prospects for me to continue to waste time.

*The constant minarchist refrain is this: "Life, liberty and property. Life, liberty and property. Governments do and *must* exist to protect life, liberty, and property."*

And this is why that attempt at logic would not fool even a half-witted child:

PROPERTY: A government cannot exist without taxation. If taxes are "voluntary", they're not called taxes. They're called donations or purchases.

*A tax is a seizure of property. Therefore, government *cannot* protect your property when it must first *confiscate* your property for its very existence.*

*LIBERTY: If you do not allow the government to confiscate your property, they will come to your house seeking to take your liberty. They *cannot* protect your liberty when their existence necessitates that they *take* your liberty if you don't relinquish your property.*

LIFE: If you attempt to physically defend your property and/or liberty when they come to take them, state agents will take your life. Guaranteed.

And so it is proven: the state CANNOT protect your life, liberty or property when it must threaten all three in order to EXIST.

Wake up.

Indeed.

Skyler.