

“Law Enforcement” Not What We Have

A couple of local sheriffs claim to be concerned that new legislation makes “law enforcement” (sic) the enemy. If so, they don’t understand the nature of legislation.

Legislation always makes someone the enemy. Both those harmed by the legislation — and make no mistake: all legislation harms some innocent people — and those who enforce that legislation.

If policing were limited to law enforcement, they would only be the enemy of actual bad guys. When they act as legislation enforcement instead, they’ve chosen the position they say they don’t want to be in.

“Don’t hurt people or take their stuff” is the extent of real law, which true law enforcement would stick to. If someone enforces harmful legislation, which is everything else, they’re on the wrong side and already the enemy.

Real law respects people’s natural right to their body and all the products of their body. Legislation pretends someone else has a right to control what others ingest, how they earn money, how much of their money they can keep, and what they do with their property.

No one has a right to cross the line drawn above. Majority opinion or legislation can’t create such a right. Enforcing such legislation or otherwise violating natural human rights is what makes someone the enemy, no matter how they excuse their behavior. It’s no different than someone who was drunk claiming this is why they aren’t responsible for an accident they caused.

Actual criminals violate real law while also violating legislation. Fake “criminals” only violate legislation. It’s the difference between *mala in se* (actually wrong because it violates others) and *mala prohibita* (“wrong” just because politicians say so).

If law enforcement existed, this is what it would be limited to. But there is only legislation enforcement — mostly chasing those who have broken worthless legislation based on nothing more than politicians’ opinions.

Maybe they would claim this also coddles real criminals, but it doesn’t. Real law enforcement would not protect actual criminals from their intended victims by enforcing legislation. This would do more to fight actual crime than posting a cop at every intersection would; turning New Mexico into a police state.

It seems legislation enforcers care most about legislation when it hurts them more than it hurts other people. They’ll try to frame it as a danger to “the public” too. I’m not buying it.

I can't fault them for a natural human desire to protect their position, though.