

Inconsistency is a Hallmark of Statism

Statism is so internally inconsistent that statisticians hallucinate inconsistency everywhere else, in *everyone* else. They can't even imagine anything like consistency.

I've seen statisticians hallucinate that the right of self-defense somehow justifies their support of an armed gang of badged government employees, funded with stolen money, imposing counterfeit rules at gunpoint, with little or no accountability. They imagine that recognizing this gang for what it is is somehow an endorsement of a free-for-all Mad Max world. They come to believe it's somehow different to shoot a rapist in the act of raping than it is to shoot a law enforcer committing an act of law enforcement.

I've seen statisticians claim that not supporting a government "border wall", funded with stolen money, built on stolen land, and *maintained* with stolen money, police state tactics, and coercion, is the same as not respecting private property rights. This is a hallucination caused by statism in the brain.

I could go on, but I'm sure you've seen *plenty* of examples of your own.

The statism requires this internal inconsistency in order to be maintained. They don't want to admit they have a problem, so they project their traits onto others so they won't feel bad. Being so inconsistent, they see inconsistency where it doesn't exist. They have a psychological need to find inconsistency in others to excuse it in themselves.

If people were internally consistent- in reason and principles- they wouldn't be statisticians.

Consistency doesn't guarantee an individual is right (you can be consistently *wrong*), but *inconsistency guarantees* an individual is wrong.