

Government is a Violation of the Social Contract

Of *course* government violates the “social contract.” Any *legitimate* social contract isn’t going to be what statist claim it is. It’s going to be completely voluntary and opting out will *always* be an option. And you won’t have to move away if you refuse to sign, either.

So, why is it that the superstitious beliefs called “the social contract” by statist are always the opposite?

They are coercive, imposed systems you can’t opt out of. Yet, somehow they believe government- the one they claim you are obligated to obey and support- is a manifestation of a glorious “social contract,” and this adds to the reasons (in their minds) you should obey and support this government.

It’s crazytalk.

A true voluntary social contract, which you consented to with your eyes open and without signing away your right to back out if it becomes harmful to your life, liberty, or property, is only *damaged* by government. It is the opposite of what a real social contract would be.

Government is anti-social, and isn’t even close to being a valid contract. Sign up with it if that’s what you want. It’s not for me.