

Government-Supremacist Assumptions

You don't need to be Sherlock Holmes with a magnifying glass. Government-supremacists are easy to spot by the bad assumptions they naturally make and wave around in public.

They've always argued over how to spend "tax" money; they won't consider the fact that "taxation" is theft.

They've argued over what should be taught in government schools, but never questioned government control over (and destruction of) education.

And now they argue over whether it was the right move to issue stay-at-home orders and cripple the economy, but they never consider that no one has the *right* to do so.

It's not government's place to decide to shutter the economy to "save" lives from coronavirus or anything else. They don't have that right and they shouldn't be allowed to have the power.

It's never an "adult decision" to govern other people (the political means) rather than letting them work it out for themselves (the economic means/the market). It's the most childish thing anyone can do. No one should be allowed to make those decisions and decide for you what you will be permitted to do with your own life.

They also substitute government-supremacism for thinking in other ways.

If you are making the dishonest argument that to *fail to sufficiently cripple the economy on account of the coronapocalypse* is going to kill 50,000 additional people (or whatever your number might be), without taking into account those who will die **because** the economy is being destroyed, you aren't contributing anything useful.

You can't know how many the virus will kill, nor do you know how many will die from the effects of a shut-down. The number of dead from the shut-down could well vastly outnumber those who die from the virus, making the "net deaths from coronavirus" being tossed around a completely fake number. Any discussion of "net deaths from coronavirus" *without* taking those a shut-down will kill into account is- as of now- a lie calculated to limit the discussion to government-supremacist answers.

To pretend that someone has sufficient information to make such a decision, or the right to impose it, is to be dishonest. It's what makes one a government-supremacist.

Government edicts and orders are the opposite of responsibility. You have the responsibility to not violate the life, liberty, or property of anyone else. Government-

supremacy is explicit irresponsibility and is shameful. No matter who exhibits it or what excuse they grasp at to justify their violations. I have no respect for government-supremacists; they deserve none. They've worked hard to prove that.