

Ginger Gets Ticket Dismissed in Texas - Insufficient Evidence

Congrats to Ginger in Texas for successfully defending against bogus charges, and thanks for providing the proof. The proof is from the Bexar County Court 5 website.

Ginger's defense was the same as what we've done for a long time here, there was no evidence to support the prosecution's claims. These claims are that the constitution and laws apply to Ginger just because he's physically in Texas, that Ginger violated those laws. A discovery/Brady request was filed with the motion to dismiss.

As you can see, the charges were dismissed without trial for insufficient evidence. That was Ginger's defense.

[Home](#) / [Back to Search Results](#) / [Details](#)

Case #528877 Events 31

Name

[REDACTED]

SID	Judicial Number	Case Status Date	Case Status
1074278	1760974	12/01/2016	CASE CLOSED
Court	Location	Fine Amount	Court Costs
CC5	CLS	0.00	0.00

apps.bexar.org/Search/AdvancedSearch

Sentence

0000000000

Disposition Date

12/01/2016

Disposition Status

DSMD-INSF EVIDENCE

Offense Date

10/16/2016

Offense Description

OBSTRUCT PASSAGEWAY/ROADWAY/WA

Judgment Date

00/00/0000

Judgment

Attorney

***** NO ATTORNEY ASSIGNED *****

Case Events [Back To Top](#)

[← Previous](#)

Currently viewing 1 through 20 of 31 records

[Next →](#)

Date	Description
12/09/2016	PRE-TRIAL CONFRNCE
12/09/2016	AWTG HEARING
12/09/2016	HEARING WAIVED
12/07/2016	FILE RECD IN COURT ARCHIVES
12/06/2016	FILE RECD IN COURT POSBOX0144
12/02/2016	FILE RECD IN COURT CRCENTRAL
12/01/2016	OUTTAKE DA 24086702
12/01/2016	DSMD-INSF EVIDENCE
12/01/2016	DISMISSAL
12/01/2016	CASE CLOSED
12/01/2016	DISP/NO FORF 0611 1694034
12/01/2016	REL OF LIABILITY 1694034

Yes, while there are other plausible explanations, the strongest explanation is the ticket was dismissed based on Ginger’s defense. The evidence we have, without assumptions, points to Ginger’s defense as the reason for the dismissal. All other explanations, while plausible, are very weak as they require assumptions.

There just isn’t evidence proving there magical rules (“constitutions” and “laws”) apply to us. There is no evidence proving there are reciprocal obligations of allegiance and

protection, therefore, there are no citizens and states.

If one stops the reification process, and looks at the evidence, the truth is easy to see. There is no "government", just men and women forcing us to pay them. They are criminals, that is why they cannot prove their "laws" apply to us.

If you disagree, and think you can prove there are states/governments, then please provide your evidence of reciprocal obligations of allegiance and protection.