

You and Your “Laws”

If you claim to honor the Constitution, and you want a law enforced that’s not allowed by the Constitution (which is therefore not a real law even by *your* questionable standards), you are mixed up.

If the “law” you want people to be forced at gunpoint to obey is not *explicitly* allowed by the Constitution, or is prohibited by it, then it can’t be a law. Not in America under the current government (regardless of how the humans working in that government feel about it).

That means if you are demanding people be forced to obey these “laws” you are the one promoting lawlessness. Not them.

And not the wholesome kind of lawlessness, either, but the toxic kind. The “forced at gunpoint” part is what gives it away.

If the “law” you want people to be forced at gunpoint to obey violates human rights or liberty in any way, *even if specifically permitted by the Constitution*, it is a counterfeit “law”, and enforcing it is wrong. Even if you agree with it. Even if you like it. Even if you believe it is necessary. Even if you imagine ruin unless it is enforced.

If you have a double-standard, where you oppose counterfeit “laws” which go against what you want, but will happily impose counterfeit “laws” on others which violate them in the same way, you are not on the side of liberty. Or rights, ethics, or principles.

I have no respect for “laws” or for people who want to impose “laws” on others. It’s a disgusting thing to see.