On Utopia

Utopia means, literally, "nowhere." This idea is thrown at voluntaryists and anarchists as an argument that their vision for society could never exist. Because Utopia is and will always be "nowhere," then the Utopians are those who champion a vision for society that can *never* exist. Stateless, anarchistic societies have existed before, proving their compatibility with human nature, and proving that they are not Utopian. In contrast, a successful state, successful in the sense that it has achieved it's purported goals of creating fair law, preserving order, and ensuring justice and security, has never existed and will never exist for philosophical and economic reasons. What has existed is a state successful in the sense that it has achieved conquest, plunder, class warfare, economic dependency, and every other means of expropriating wealth from others. That state does exist; hundreds of them exist and have existed, in fact. What we may conclude, then, is that neither parasitic-statism nor anarchism are Utopian; leaving us with the only alternative, that which never was and can never be as a matter of incompatibility with the laws of economics and of human nature: the good and noble State. Now what's the point in championing that? And that's today's two cents.

Skyler.