Bad at Logic or Intellectually Dishonest

A popular di	shonest	fallacy l've	seen a lot	: recently	y is to po	int to ar	n examp	ole of	one
individual sa	aying or a	advocating	something	g stupid	or nasty,	and say	ying, "T	his is	what
<i>all</i> people w	ho are _	s be	elieve!"						

For example, I was recently in a thread where a few dozen voluntaryists (including me) were arguing against another voluntaryist who said something logically and morally wrong. And yet a number of commies and/or statists decided to chime in to proclaim that the *all* persons magically represented "anarcho-capitalists," but for some reason all those arguing against that one didn't represent anything.

Of course, people do this in all sorts of directions, but I've seen this particular fallacy used a lot recently against libertarians and anarchists in particular, almost as if it was orchestrated. Or maybe statists are just that bad at logic, or that intellectually dishonest.